Procedures for Labeling the High-Resolution Axis of Two-Dimensional MQ-MAS NMR Spectra of Half-Integer Quadrupole Spins
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The increasing development and application of the multiple-quantum MAS NMR for half-integer quadrupole spins has led to various RF pulse sequences for improving the excitation of multiple-quantum coherences and their conversion to single-quantum coherences. As a result, several conventions for labeling the F1 dimension of a 2D MQ-MAS spectrum appear in the literature. The corresponding relations for extracting the isotropic chemical shift, the quadrupole coupling constant, and the asymmetry parameter from experimental data are not always provided. We analyze these various conventions systematically and propose a new one, similar to that introduced by J.-P. Amoureux and C. Fernandez (2000, Solid State NMR, 10, 339–343). These various conventions are illustrated with $^{27}$Al (I = 5/2) nuclei in aluminum acetylacetonate Al(CH$_3$COCHCOCH$_3$)$_3$. Another experimental problem often met, the aliasing of peaks in the 2D spectrum, is analyzed and illustrated with $^{27}$Al (I = 5/2) in NH$_4$Y zeolite and $^{23}$Na (I = 3/2) in sodium pyrophosphate Na$_4$P$_2$O$_7$.
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INTRODUCTION

Multi-quantum magic-angle spinning (MQ-MAS) spectroscopy on half-integer quadrupole spins, introduced by Frydman and Harwood [1], has become a routine technique for counting the number of crystallographic sites in a compound. The increasing number of papers published since 1995 evidences its impact on the NMR community. The various subjects dealt with can be separated into two groups: the improvement of the method and its application to material science.

Since MQ-MAS is a 2D experiment, the first development concerns the sensitivity enhancement of the 2D spectrum. Various radiofrequency (RF) pulse sequences have been suggested [2–20], including shaped pulses [21, 22], optimization of MQ coherence transfers [23–25], factors limiting the resolution [26–30], spectral editing [31], correlation between satellite and central transitions [32], suppression of sidebands by variable-speed MAS [33], spectra simulation [34–36], quantification of spectra with numerical simulation [37], lineshape analysis [38–40], and disorder analysis [41].
This method has been applied to the characterization of: (i) meso- and micro-
porous materials such as alumina [42], aluminophosphate [11, 12, 43–47], alumin-
osilicate [48–50], phosphoro-vanado-alumina [51], or titanosilicate ETS-10 [52];
(ii) zeolites such as dealuminated beta [53], cancrenite [54], chabazite [55], mazzite
[56], dealuminated offretite [57], SAPO37 [58], NaX and NaY [59, 60], steamed Y
[61–63], or dehydrated H-ZSM5 [64]; (iii) minerals such as sodium pyrophosphate
Na₂P₂O₇ [65–67] and Na₅P₃O₁₀ [68], SrAl₁₂O₁₉ [69], andalusite [70, 71], forsterite
Mg₂SiO₄ [72], kaolinite [73], kyanite [70, 71], zoisite [74], lanthanum-aluminate [75],
calcium silicate hydrate [76, 77], or layered double hydroxide [78]; (iv) complex
molecules such as [d(TG₄T)]₄ [79], antibiotic ionophore [80], nucleic acid [81], or
ligand disordering [82]; (v) glasses such as aluminoborate [83], sodium aluminobor-
ate [84], magnesium aluminoborate [85], aluminoborosilicate [86], aluminosilicate
[87, 88], boroaluminate [89], solid electrolyte [90], or disorder in glasses [91, 92];
and (vi) phase transition in inorganic rubidium salts [93]. Despite the numerous
papers quoted above and published from 1998, little attention has been paid to
the labeling of the F1 axis of the 2D MQ-MAS spectrum. Knowing the observed
chemical shifts of the center of gravity of a peak in the two dimensions allows us
to extract the isotropic chemical shift \( \delta_{iso} \) and the second-order quadrupole shift
\( \omega_{iso} \) of the peak. These two parameters characterize the local symmetry of a
crystallographic site in solids [94].

In this paper, we present the various conventions which have appeared in the
literature for labeling the F1 axis and analyze, from a practical point of view,
their advantages and disadvantages. The reader should not be disturbed by the
no-standard nomenclatures used by us for these conventions. Only results con-
cerning sheared spectra are presented, postponing those about unsheared spectra
to future work. We also take this opportunity to consider the aliasing of peaks
in a 2D MQ-MAS spectrum, which generates wrong observed chemical shift val-
ues. For this purpose, the \(^{27}\text{Al} \ (I = 5/2)\) nuclei in aluminum acetylacetonate
\(\text{Al(CH}_3\text{COCHCOCH}_3\text{)}_3\) are used to illustrate the various conventions. The \(^{27}\text{Al} \ (I = 5/2)\) nuclei in NH₄-Y zeolite and \(^{23}\text{Na} \ (I = 3/2)\) nuclei in sodium pyrophos-
phate Na₅P₃O₁₀ are used to illustrate the peak aliasing in a 2D MQ-MAS spectrum.
In the first two compounds, there is only one crystallographic site for aluminum
nuclei. On the other hand, four crystallographic sites are available for sodium nuclei
in the last compound.

**THEORY**

In its simplest form, the RF pulse sequence associated with MQ-MAS consists of
a two-pulse spin-echo sequence. The delay \( t_1 \) between the two RF pulses is called
the experimental evolution period or MQ evolution period. The experimental acquisi-
tion period \( t_2 \) starts from the end of the second pulse (Fig. 1a). The echo signal
is located at \( t_2 = |k(I, p)|t_1 \ [1, 95–99] \) and the anti-echo signal at \( t_2 = -|k(I, p)|t_1 \),
\( p \) being the coherence order. Furthermore, the MQ coherence, generated by the
first RF pulse and refocused by the second RF pulse as echo, and that refocused
as anti-echo have opposite coherence orders. Contrary to the conventional Hahn
FIG. 1. Various meanings of the time-domain parameters. (a) Standard notations used in the two-pulse MQ-MAS experiment: $t_1$ and $t_2$ are the experimental evolution and the experimental acquisition periods, respectively. $P_1$ and $P_2$ are the pulse durations. (b) Notations involved in conventions $C_{k(1)}$, $C_{k(2)}$, $C_{k(-1)}$, $C_{k(1)}$, $C_{k(2)}$, $C_{k(-1)}$, and $C_{k(2)}$: the position of the echo relative to the first RF pulse $(1+k)t_1$ is the evolution period and $t'_2$ is the shifted-acquisition period after the shearing transformation. (c) Notations involved in conventions $C_3$, $C_{3a}$, and $C_{5a}$: the MQ evolution period $t_1$ is the evolution period and $t'_2$ is the shifted-acquisition period after the shearing transformation. Convention $C_z$ is used by Medek and co-workers (Ref. [96]) and Hanaya and Harris (Ref. [106]); $C_k(1)$ and $C_k(2)$ by Massiot and co-workers (Ref. [97]) and Wang and co-workers (Ref. [111]); $C_{3a}$, $C_{3b(1)}$, and $C_{3b(2)}$ by Amoureux and Fernandez (Refs. [23, 24]); $C_{4a}$, $C_{4b(1)}$, and $C_{4b(2)}$ by Bodart and co-workers (Ref. [50]); $C_{5a}$, $C_{5b(1)}$, and $C_{5b(2)}$ by ourselves.

The MQ-MAS echo and anti-echo amplitudes are phase-modulated by $\exp[-t_2 \omega_{F1}(I, p)]$ with $[^{105}]$

$$\omega_{F1}(I, p) = [k(I, p) - p]\omega_{cf} \delta_{CS} + [k(I, p) + \lambda(I, p)]\omega_{2\text{iso}}^{(2\text{iso})} - \frac{1}{2}$$

$\omega_{cf}$ being the carrier frequency of the spectrometer. The quantity $\lambda(I, p)$ relates the second-order quadrupole shift $\omega_{2\text{iso}}^{(2\text{iso})}$ of a $pQ$ spectrum to that of a $-1Q$ spectrum, $\omega_{-1/2, 1/2}^{(2\text{iso})}$, as

$$\omega_{p/2, -p/2}^{(2\text{iso})} = \lambda(I, p)\omega_{-1/2, 1/2}^{(2\text{iso})}$$

with

$$\omega_{-1/2, 1/2}^{(2\text{iso})} = -\frac{3(2\pi C_{Q\eta})^2}{10\omega_{cf}[2(I+1)]} \frac{1}{I(I+1) - \frac{3}{4}}$$

$$C_{Q\eta} = \frac{e^2 qQ}{h} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{3} \eta^2} = C_Q \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{3} \eta^2}$$

The values of $k$ and $\lambda$ for the four half-integer quadrupole spins $I$ and the coherence order $p$ are reported in Table 1. The parameters $C_{Q\eta}$ and $C_Q$ are called the quadrupole product and the quadrupole coupling constant, respectively. Conversely,
$C_{Q\eta}$ can be expressed by

$$C_{Q\eta} = A_{Q\eta} \sqrt{-\frac{\omega(2\sin)_{1/2, 1/2}}{\omega_{cf}}}$$

(5)

with

$$A_{Q\eta} = I(2I - 1) \frac{\omega_{cf}}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{40}{3[I(I + 1) - \frac{3}{4}]}},$$

(6)

If the acquisition of the data starts at the position $t_z = k t_z$ of the echo, the position $\omega_{F1}(I, p)$ of the peak relative to the carrier frequency in the F1 dimension is given by Eq. (1). To express this position in chemical shift units, it is necessary to divide Eq. (1) by a spectrometer frequency. The obvious choice is the carrier frequency $\omega_{cf}$ of the spectrometer. This way of labeling the F1 dimension of the MQ-MAS spectra has been applied by Medek and co-workers [96] and Hanaya and Harris [106]. We called it convention $C_z$ previously [105] and denoted the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension by

$$\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_1-z} = \frac{\omega_{F1}(I, p)}{\omega_{cf}}.$$

(7)

The second choice of the carrier frequency is $p \omega_{cf}$, the frequency related to a $pQ$ transition. We call it convention $C_{4\omega}$, which has been used by Bodart and

---

**TABLE 1**

Parameters (Spin $I$, Coherence Order $p$, Echo Position $k$, and $\lambda$ in Eq. (2)) Related to Various Conventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$I$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$k - p$</th>
<th>$k + \lambda$</th>
<th>$\frac{1}{p^2}$</th>
<th>$k_{1z}$</th>
<th>$k_{2z}$</th>
<th>$k_{Gz}$</th>
<th>$k_{1k}$</th>
<th>$k_{2k}$</th>
<th>$k_{Gk}$</th>
<th>$k_{14}$</th>
<th>$k_{24}$</th>
<th>$k_{G4}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>34/4</td>
<td>-20/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>17/4</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>71/2</td>
<td>-31/2</td>
<td>-34/2</td>
<td>20/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-4/2</td>
<td>-6/2</td>
<td>-5/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>34/4</td>
<td>-20/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>17/4</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>71/2</td>
<td>-31/2</td>
<td>-34/2</td>
<td>20/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-4/2</td>
<td>-6/2</td>
<td>-5/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>34/4</td>
<td>-20/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>17/4</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>71/2</td>
<td>-31/2</td>
<td>-34/2</td>
<td>20/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-4/2</td>
<td>-6/2</td>
<td>-5/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>34/4</td>
<td>-20/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>17/4</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>71/2</td>
<td>-31/2</td>
<td>-34/2</td>
<td>20/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-4/2</td>
<td>-6/2</td>
<td>-5/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>34/4</td>
<td>-20/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>17/4</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>71/2</td>
<td>-31/2</td>
<td>-34/2</td>
<td>20/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-4/2</td>
<td>-6/2</td>
<td>-5/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>34/4</td>
<td>-20/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>17/4</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>71/2</td>
<td>-31/2</td>
<td>-34/2</td>
<td>20/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-4/2</td>
<td>-6/2</td>
<td>-5/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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co-workers [50]. Since $p\omega_{ct}$ is not real, it is called the apparent carrier frequency and the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension is defined by

$$\delta_{G1-4a}^{obs} = \frac{\omega_{F1}(I, p)}{p\omega_{ct}} = \frac{1}{p}\delta_{G1-z}^{obs}. \tag{8}$$

The third choice of the apparent carrier frequency is $(k - p)\omega_{ct}$, $(k - p)$ being the factor of $\omega_{ct}^{iso}$ in Eq. (1). We call it convention $C_{3a}$, which was introduced by Amoureux and Fernandez [23, 24]. The observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension is defined by

$$\delta_{G1-3a}^{obs} = \frac{\omega_{F1}(I, p)}{(k - p)\omega_{ct}} = \frac{1}{k - p}\delta_{G1-z}^{obs}. \tag{9}$$

We propose a new choice of the apparent carrier frequency, namely $(k + \lambda)\omega_{ct}$, $(k + \lambda)$ being the factor of $\omega_{ct}^{iso}$ in Eq. (1). We call it convention $C_{5a}$. The observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension is defined by

$$\delta_{G1-5a}^{obs} = \frac{\omega_{F1}(I, p)}{(k + \lambda)\omega_{ct}} = \frac{1}{k + \lambda}\delta_{G1-z}^{obs}. \tag{10}$$

The above conventions consider the MQ evolution period $t_1$ as the evolution period (Fig. 1c). The second way to define the evolution period is to consider the position of the echo relative to the first RF pulse (Fig. 1b). In other words, the evolution period becomes $(1 + k)t_1$. Since the chemical-shift range in the F1 dimension is given by the ratio of the experimental spectral width $SW(F1)$ to the apparent carrier frequency, the factor $(1 + k)$ should affect either $SW(F1)$ or the apparent carrier frequency. Therefore, this new definition of the evolution period leads to two ways for labeling the F1 axis but giving the same chemical-shift range:

(i) We modify the increment $\Delta t_1$ of the experimental evolution period by $(1 + k)\Delta t_1$, but keep the apparent carrier frequencies of the above conventions ($C_z$, $C_{4a}$, $C_{3a}$, and $C_{5a}$) unchanged. The increment $\Delta t_1$ is also called the dwell time of the F1 dimension. This means that the experimental spectral width $SW(F1)$ in the F1 dimension is reduced to $SW(F1)/(1 + k)$. As a result, four more conventions have to be defined; we call them $C_{k(1)}$, $C_{4b(1)}$, $C_{3b(1)}$, and $C_{5b(1)}$.

(ii) We keep the increment $\Delta t_1$ of the experimental evolution period untouched, but we scale the apparent carrier frequencies of conventions $C_z$, $C_{4a}$, $C_{3a}$, and $C_{5a}$ by $1 + k$. As a result, four new conventions have to be defined; we call them $C_{k(2)}$, $C_{4b(2)}$, $C_{3b(2)}$, and $C_{5b(2)}$.

Similarly to Eqs. (7)–(10), the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension is defined for conventions $C_{k(1)}$ and $C_{k(2)}$ by

$$\delta_{G1-k}^{obs} = \frac{\omega_{F1}(I, p)}{(1 + k)\omega_{ct}} = \frac{\delta_{G1-z}^{obs}}{1 + k}. \tag{11}$$
for conventions $C_{4b(1)}$ and $C_{4b(2)}$ by

$$\delta_{G1-4b}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{\omega_{F1}(I, p)}{(1 + k)p\omega_{cf}} = \frac{\delta_{G1-4a}^{\text{obs}}}{1 + k};$$

(12)

for conventions $C_{3b(1)}$ and $C_{3b(2)}$ by

$$\delta_{G1-3b}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{\omega_{F1}(I, p)}{(1 + k)(k - p)\omega_{cf}} = \frac{\delta_{G1-3a}^{\text{obs}}}{1 + k};$$

(13)

and for conventions $C_{5b(1)}$ and $C_{5b(2)}$ by

$$\delta_{G1-5b}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{\omega_{F1}(I, p)}{(1 + k)(k + \lambda)\omega_{cf}} = \frac{\delta_{G1-5a}^{\text{obs}}}{1 + k}.$$  

(14)

The two parameters we modify are the increment of the experimental evolution period and the apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension. In fact, we will see later that a third parameter should be modified according to the convention. In the following we gather the various conventions into four groups and deduce the analytical expression of the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of a peak in the F1 axis as a function of the isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{\text{iso}}^{CS}$ and the second-order quadrupole shift $\omega_{2/1, 1/2}^{\text{iso}}$.

**Conventions $C_z$, $C_{k(1)y}$, and $C_{k(2)y}$**. In convention $C_z$, which is used by Medek and co-workers [96], all the experimental parameters remain untouched. The carrier frequency $\omega_{cf}$ in the F1 dimension is identical to that of the F2 dimension. As a result, the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension or Eq. (7) is

$$\delta_{G1-z}^{\text{obs}} = (k - p)\delta_{\text{iso}}^{CS} + (k + \lambda)\frac{\omega_{2/1, 1/2}^{\text{iso}}}{\omega_{cf}} = k_{1z}\delta_{\text{iso}}^{CS} + k_{2z}\frac{\omega_{2/1, 1/2}^{\text{iso}}}{\omega_{cf}}.$$  

(15)

The apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension and the increment of the evolution period are $\omega_{cf}$ and $(1 + k)\Delta t_1$ for convention $C_{k(1)y}$, and $(1 + k)\omega_{cf}$ and $\Delta t_1$ for convention $C_{k(2)y}$. These choices were introduced by Massiot and co-workers [97] because they use the evolution period $(1 + k)t_1$ instead of the experimental evolution period. For these two conventions, the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension or Eq. (11) is

$$\delta_{G1-k}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{1}{1 + k} \left( (k - p)\delta_{\text{iso}}^{CS} + (k + \lambda)\frac{\omega_{2/1, 1/2}^{\text{iso}}}{\omega_{cf}} \right) = k_{1k}\delta_{\text{iso}}^{CS} + k_{2k}\frac{\omega_{2/1, 1/2}^{\text{iso}}}{\omega_{cf}}.$$  

(16)

The four parameters $k_{1z}, k_{2z}, k_{1k}$, and $k_{2k}$ are given in Table 1 for the four half-integer quadrupole spins.

**Conventions $C_{4a}$, $C_{4b(1)y}$, and $C_{4b(2)y}$**. In convention $C_{4a}$, the apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension is $p\omega_{cf}$ and the increment of the evolution period remains $\Delta t_1$. These conditions were introduced by Bodart and co-workers [50], who
extend the convention used for unsheared 2D MQ-MAS spectra to sheared spectra. The observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension or Eq. (8) is

$$\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G1-4a} = \frac{k - p}{p} \delta_{\text{CS}} + \frac{k + \lambda}{p} \frac{\omega_{\text{iso}}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}} = k_{14} \delta_{\text{CS}} + k_{24} \frac{\omega_{\text{iso}}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}}.$$  (17)

The apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension and the increment of the evolution period are \(p \omega_{\text{cf}}\) and \((1 + k) \Delta t_1\) for convention \(C_{4b(1)}\), and \((1 + k) p \omega_{\text{cf}}\) and \(\Delta t_1\) for convention \(C_{4b(2)}\). For these two conventions, the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension or Eq. (12) is

$$\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G1-4b} = \frac{1}{1 + k} \left( k_{14} \delta_{\text{CS}} + k_{24} \frac{\omega_{\text{iso}}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}} \right).$$  (18)

The two parameters \(k_{14}\) and \(k_{24}\) are given in Table 1 for the four half-integer quadrupole spins.

Conventions \(C_{3a}, C_{3b(1)},\) and \(C_{3b(2)}\). Convention \(C_{3a}\) was introduced by Amoureux and co-workers [23, 24], who consider \((k - p) \omega_{\text{cf}}\) as the apparent carrier frequency of the F1 dimension and the increment of the experimental evolution period \(\Delta t_1\). The observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension or Eq. (9) is

$$\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G1-3a} = \delta_{\text{iso}} + \frac{k + \lambda}{k - p} \frac{\omega_{\text{iso}}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}} = \delta_{\text{iso}} + \frac{10 \omega_{\text{iso}}}{17 \omega_{\text{cf}}}.$$  (19)

Contrary to the above conventions, the terms associated with \(\delta_{\text{iso}}^{G1-3a}\) and \(\omega_{\text{iso}}^{2} / \omega_{\text{cf}}\) are constants, therefore, independent of the spin \(I\) and the coherence order \(p\).

The apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension and the increment of the evolution period are \((k - p) \omega_{\text{cf}}\) and \((1 + k) \Delta t_1\) for convention \(C_{3b(1)}\), and \((1 + k)(k - p) \omega_{\text{cf}}\) and \(\Delta t_1\) for convention \(C_{3b(2)}\). For these two conventions, the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension or Eq. (13) is

$$\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G1-3b} = \frac{1}{1 + k} \left( \delta_{\text{iso}}^{G1-3b} - \frac{10 \omega_{\text{iso}}^{2}}{17 \omega_{\text{cf}}} \right).$$  (20)

The terms associated with \(\delta_{\text{iso}}^{G1-3b}\) and \(\omega_{\text{iso}}^{2} / \omega_{\text{cf}}\) depend only on the position \(k\) of the echo.

Conventions \(C_{5a}, C_{5b(1)},\) and \(C_{5b(2)}\). We propose convention \(C_{5a}\) that considers \((k + \lambda) \omega_{\text{cf}}\) as the apparent carrier frequency of the F1 dimension and the increment of the experimental evolution period \(\Delta t_1\). The observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension or Eq. (10) is

$$\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G1-5a} = \frac{k - p}{k + \lambda} \delta_{\text{iso}} + \frac{\omega_{\text{iso}}^{2}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}} = -\frac{17}{10} \delta_{\text{iso}}^{G1-5a} + \frac{\omega_{\text{iso}}^{2}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}}.$$  (21)
Similarly to Eq. (19), the terms associated with $\delta_{CS}^{iso}$ and $\omega^{-1/2,1/2}_{-1/2}$ in Eq. (21) are also constants in Eq. (21).

The apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension and the increment of the evolution period are $(k + \lambda)\omega_{cl}$ and $(1 + k)\Delta t_1$ for convention $C_{5b(1)}$, and $(1 + k)(k + \lambda)\omega_{cl}$ and $\Delta t_1$ for convention $C_{5b(2)}$. For these two conventions, the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 dimension or Eq. (14) is

$$\delta_{G1-5b}^{obs} = \frac{1}{1 + k} \left( -\frac{17}{10} \delta_{CS}^{iso} + \frac{\omega^{-1/2,1/2}_{-1/2}}{\omega_{cl}} \right).$$  

The terms associated with $\delta_{CS}^{iso}$ and $\omega^{-1/2,1/2}_{-1/2}$ in Eq. (22) depend only on the position $k$ of the echo.

In a theoretical study, the observed chemical shifts of the center of gravity of a peak in a 2D spectrum are referenced to the apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension and to the carrier frequency in the F2 dimension, which are located at the center of each of the two spectral widths. Of course, the off-resonance positions $\Delta \omega_{F1}$ and $\Delta \omega_{F2}$ of a peak are always referenced to the apparent carrier frequency and to the carrier frequency. From a practical point of view, in a 1D spectrum or the F2 dimension of a 2D spectrum, the chemical shift of an absorption line is referenced experimentally to an external aqueous solution with $\delta_{CS}^{iso} = 0$, by definition. Knowing $\Omega_{F1}$, the frequency offset of the apparent carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the F1 dimension, and $\Omega_{F2}$, that of the carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the F2 dimension, we can express the observed chemical shifts of the center of gravity, $\delta_{G1}^{obs}$ in the F1 dimension and $\delta_{G2}^{obs}$ in the F2 dimension, of any peak relative to the aqueous solution. Unfortunately, $\Delta \omega_{F1}$ and $\Omega_{F1}$ differ from $\Delta \omega_{F2}$ and $\Omega_{F2}$ for a 2D MQ-MAS spectrum. Since the Hamiltonian associated with a frequency offset and that with the isotropic chemical shift are similar, the expressions for the isotropic chemical shift (see Tables 2–4) remain valid for the frequency offset and the off-resonance position.

For conventions $C_z$, $C_{3z}$, $C_{4z}$, $C_{5z}$, $C_{k(1)}$, $C_{3b(2)}$, $C_{4b(2)}$, and $C_{5b(1)}$, where the increment of the experimental evolution period remains unchanged, the frequency offset of the apparent carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution and the off-resonance position of the peak relative to the apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension are

$$\Omega_{F1} = (k - p)\Omega_{F2} = k_1\Omega_{F2},$$  

$$\Delta \omega_{F1} = (k - p)\Delta \omega_{F2} = k_1\Delta \omega_{F2}.$$  

On the other hand, for conventions $C_{k(1)}$, $C_{3b(1)}$, $C_{4b(1)}$, and $C_{5b(1)}$, where the increment of the experimental evolution period is multiplied by $1 + k$, the frequency offset and the off-resonance position in the F1 dimension are

$$\Omega_{F1} = \frac{k - p}{1 + k} \Omega_{F2} = \frac{k_1}{1 + k} \Omega_{F2},$$  

$$\Delta \omega_{F1} = \frac{k - p}{1 + k} \Delta \omega_{F2} = \frac{k_1}{1 + k} \Delta \omega_{F2}.$$  

\[28\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$C_\text{Meck}$ (Ref. [96])</th>
<th>$C_\text{Bodart}$ (Ref. [50])</th>
<th>$C_\text{Amoureux}$ (Ref. [24])</th>
<th>This work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apparent carrier frequency</td>
<td>$\omega_{\text{d}}$</td>
<td>$p\omega_{\text{d}}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\omega_{\text{d}}$</td>
<td>$(k+\lambda)\omega_{\text{d}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency offset $\Omega_{\text{F1}}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\Omega_{\text{F2}}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\Omega_{\text{F2}}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\Omega_{\text{F2}}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\Omega_{\text{F2}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-resonance position $\Delta \omega_{F1}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\Delta \omega_{F2}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\Delta \omega_{F2}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\Delta \omega_{F2}$</td>
<td>$(k-p)\Delta \omega_{F2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed chemical shift of the center of gravity</td>
<td>$k_{1z}\delta_{\text{C3}} + k_{2z}\frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{}$</td>
<td>$k_{1z}\delta_{\text{C3}} + k_{2z}\frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{}$</td>
<td>$k_{1z}\delta_{\text{C3}} + k_{2z}\frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{}$</td>
<td>$-17\delta_{\text{C3}} + \frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{\omega_{\text{d}}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{\text{C3}}^\text{iso}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{\omega_{\text{d}}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{\omega_{\text{d}}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{\omega_{\text{d}}}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{\omega_{\text{d}}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-order quadrupole shift $\frac{\omega_{\text{c}}}{\omega_{\text{d}}}$</td>
<td>$-k_{1z}[\delta_{\text{G1}}^{\text{obs}} - k_{1z}\delta_{\text{G2}}^{\text{obs}}]$</td>
<td>$-k_{1z}[\delta_{\text{G1}}^{\text{obs}} - k_{1z}\delta_{\text{G2}}^{\text{obs}}]$</td>
<td>$-\frac{10\delta_{\text{G1}}^{\text{obs}}}{3} + \frac{17\delta_{\text{G2}}^{\text{obs}}}{3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{10\delta_{\text{G1}}^{\text{obs}}}{3} + \frac{17\delta_{\text{G2}}^{\text{obs}}}{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrupole product $C_{\text{G1}}/A_{\text{G2}}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{k_{1z}(\delta_{\text{G1}}^{\text{obs}} - k_{1z}\delta_{\text{G2}}^{\text{obs}})}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{k_{1z}(\delta_{\text{G1}}^{\text{obs}} - k_{1z}\delta_{\text{G2}}^{\text{obs}})}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{\frac{10\delta_{\text{G1}}^{\text{obs}}}{3} - \frac{17\delta_{\text{G2}}^{\text{obs}}}{3}}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{-\frac{10\delta_{\text{G1}}^{\text{obs}}}{3} - \frac{17\delta_{\text{G2}}^{\text{obs}}}{3}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3
Physical Parameters and F1 Dimension Parameters for Conventions Considering \((1 + k)\tau\), the Position of the Echo Relative to the First RF Pulse, as the Evolution Period; the Increment \(\Delta\tau\) of the Experimental Evolution Period Is Indeed Multiplied by \(1 + k\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(C_k(1))</th>
<th>(C_{(k)})</th>
<th>(C_{(k)})</th>
<th>(C_{(k)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massiot (Ref. [97])</td>
<td>Bodart (Ref. [50])</td>
<td>Amoureux (Ref. [24])</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent carrier frequency</td>
<td>(\omega_{c_f})</td>
<td>(p\omega_{c_f})</td>
<td>((k - p)\omega_{c_f})</td>
<td>((k + \lambda)\omega_{c_f})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency offset (\Omega_{F1})</td>
<td>(\frac{k}{1 + k}\Omega_{F1})</td>
<td>(\frac{k}{1 + k}\Omega_{F1})</td>
<td>(\frac{k}{1 + k}\Omega_{F1})</td>
<td>(\frac{k}{1 + k}\Omega_{F1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-resonance position (\Delta\omega_{F1})</td>
<td>(\frac{k}{1 + k}\Delta\omega_{F1})</td>
<td>(\frac{k}{1 + k}\Delta\omega_{F1})</td>
<td>(\frac{k}{1 + k}\Delta\omega_{F1})</td>
<td>(\frac{k}{1 + k}\Delta\omega_{F1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed chemical shift of the center of gravity</td>
<td>(k_{14}\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} + k</em>{23}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{1 + k}(k_{14}\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} + k</em>{24}\delta^{obs}_{G_2}))</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{1 + k}(\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} - \frac{\gamma^{G_3}}{\omega</em>{c_f}}\delta^{obs}_{G_2}))</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{1 + k}(\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} - \frac{\gamma^{G_3}}{\omega</em>{c_f}}\delta^{obs}_{G_2}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic chemical shift (\delta^{iso}_{G_3})</td>
<td>(\frac{10}{27}\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} + k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})</td>
<td>(\frac{10}{27}\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} + (1 + k)k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})</td>
<td>(\frac{10}{27}\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} + (1 + k)(\frac{10}{27})\delta^{obs}</em>{G_2})</td>
<td>(\frac{10}{27}\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} - (1 + k)\delta^{obs}</em>{G_2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-order quadrupole shift (\delta^{obs}<em>{G_3} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})</td>
<td>(-k_{14}[1 + k]\delta^{obs}<em>{G_1 - 3G_2} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})</td>
<td>(-k_{14}[1 + k]\delta^{obs}<em>{G_1 - 3G_2} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})</td>
<td>(-k_{14}[1 + k]\delta^{obs}<em>{G_1 - 3G_2} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})</td>
<td>(-k_{14}[1 + k]\delta^{obs}<em>{G_1 - 3G_2} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrupole product (C_{Q_h}/A_{G_2})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{k_{14}(\delta^{obs}<em>{G_1 - 4G_2} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2})})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{k_{14}(1 + k)\delta^{obs}<em>{G_1 - 4G_2} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2}})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{k_{14}(1 + k)\delta^{obs}<em>{G_1 - 4G_2} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2}})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{k_{14}(1 + k)\delta^{obs}<em>{G_1 - 4G_2} - k</em>{14}\delta^{obs}_{G_2}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Parameters and F1 Dimension Parameters for Conventions Considering $(1 + k)\tau$, the Position of the Echo Relative to the First RF Pulse, as the Evolution Period; However, the Increment $\Delta\tau$ of the Experimental Evolution Period Is Not Multiplied by $1 + k$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C_{k(2)}</strong></td>
<td><strong>C_{\omega(2)}</strong></td>
<td><strong>C_{\Omega(2)}</strong></td>
<td><strong>C_{\delta(2)}</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massiot (Ref. [97])</td>
<td>Bodart (Ref. [50])</td>
<td>Amoureux (Ref. [24])</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent carrier frequency</td>
<td>$(1 + k)\omega_{cf}$</td>
<td>$(1 + k)p\omega_{cf}$</td>
<td>$(1 + k)(k - p)\omega_{cf}$</td>
<td>$(1 + k)(k + \lambda)\omega_{cf}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency offset $\Omega_{f1}$</td>
<td>$(k - p)\Omega_{f2}$</td>
<td>$(k - p)\Omega_{f2}$</td>
<td>$(k - p)\Omega_{f2}$</td>
<td>$(k - p)\Omega_{f2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-resonance position $\Delta\omega_{f1}$</td>
<td>$(k - p)\Delta\omega_{f2}$</td>
<td>$(k - p)\Delta\omega_{f2}$</td>
<td>$(k - p)\Delta\omega_{f2}$</td>
<td>$(k - p)\Delta\omega_{f2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed chemical shift of the center of gravity</td>
<td>$k_{14}\delta_{CS}^{obs} + k_{24}\delta_{CS}^{obs}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{1 + k}(k_{14}\delta_{CS}^{obs} + k_{24}\delta_{CS}^{obs})$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{1 + k}(\delta_{CS}^{obs} - \frac{17}{5}\delta_{CS}^{obs})$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{1 + k}(\delta_{CS}^{obs} - \frac{17}{5}\delta_{CS}^{obs})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{CS}^{obs}$</td>
<td>$\frac{10}{3}\delta_{G2}^{obs} + k_{G2}\delta_{G1-k}^{obs}$</td>
<td>$\frac{10}{3}\delta_{G2}^{obs} + (1 + k)k_{G2}\delta_{G1-4b}^{obs}$</td>
<td>$\frac{10}{3}\delta_{G2}^{obs} + (1 + k)\frac{17}{10}\delta_{G1-38}^{obs}$</td>
<td>$\frac{10}{3}\delta_{G2}^{obs} - (1 + k)\delta_{G1-38}^{obs}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-order quadrupole shift</td>
<td>$-k_{G1}[\delta_{G1-k}^{obs} - k_{14}\delta_{G2}^{obs}]$</td>
<td>$-k_{G1}[1 + k]\delta_{G1-4b}^{obs} - k_{14}\delta_{G2}^{obs}$</td>
<td>$-\frac{17}{10}[1 + k]\delta_{G1-38}^{obs} - \delta_{G2}^{obs}$</td>
<td>$\frac{17}{10}(1 + k)\delta_{G1-38}^{obs} + \frac{17}{10}\delta_{G2}^{obs}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrupole product $C_{Qn}/A_{Qn}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{k_{G1}(\delta_{G1-k}^{obs} - k_{14}\delta_{G2}^{obs})}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{k_{G1}(1 + k)\delta_{G1-4b}^{obs} - k_{14}\delta_{G2}^{obs}}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{-\frac{17}{10}[1 + k]\delta_{G1-38}^{obs} - \delta_{G2}^{obs}}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{-\frac{17}{10}(1 + k)\delta_{G1-38}^{obs} - \frac{17}{10}\delta_{G2}^{obs}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now we know (i) $\Delta \omega_{F1}$, the off-resonance position of a peak relative to the apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension; (ii) $\Delta \omega_{F2}$, that relative to the carrier frequency in the F2 dimension; (iii) $\Omega_{F1}$, the frequency offset of the apparent carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the F1 dimension; and (iv) $\Omega_{F2}$, that of the carrier frequency relative to the same solution in the F2 dimension. Therefore we know the positions of the peak relative to the aqueous solution in both dimensions.

Once the two axes of the 2D MQ-MAS spectrum are labeled in chemical shift units, with one of the above conventions for the F1 dimension and the usual convention for the F2 dimension, we would like to determine the isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ and the quadrupole product $CQ\eta$ associated with a peak. The observed chemical shift $\delta_{\text{obs}}$ of the center of gravity of a peak in the F2 dimension is

$$\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_2} = \delta_{\text{iso}} + \frac{\omega^{(2)\text{iso}}_{-1/2,1/2}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}}. $$

(27)

Combining Eq. (27) successively with Eqs. (15)–(22) provides us with the expressions of the isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{\text{iso}}$, the second-order quadrupole shift $\omega^{(2)\text{iso}}_{-1/2,1/2}/\omega_{\text{cf}}$ expressed in chemical shift units, and the quadrupole product $CQ\eta$ for each convention. They are gathered in Tables 2–4. The key points for conventions $C_3a$ and $C_5a$ are that the expressions of $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ and $\omega^{(2)\text{iso}}_{-1/2,1/2}/\omega_{\text{cf}}$ are independent of the spin $I$, the coherence order $p$, the position $k$ of the echo, and $\lambda$. For convention $C_3a$,

$$\delta_{\text{iso}} = \frac{10}{27} \delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_2} + \frac{17}{27} \delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_1-3a}, \quad \frac{\omega^{(2)\text{iso}}_{-1/2,1/2}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}} = -\frac{17}{27} [\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_1-3a} - \delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_2}]. $$

(28)

For convention $C_5a$,

$$\delta_{\text{iso}} = \frac{10}{27} (\delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_2} - \delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_1-5a}), \quad \frac{\omega^{(2)\text{iso}}_{-1/2,1/2}}{\omega_{\text{cf}}} = \frac{10}{27} \delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_1-5a} + \frac{17}{27} \delta_{\text{obs}}^{G_2}. $$

(29)

In addition to the apparent carrier frequency and the increment of the evolution period, we have to use $\Omega_{F1}$. For the convenience of readers, we have gathered these parameters and the physical parameters in Tables 2–4 for the various conventions. The first rows concerning the apparent carrier frequencies in Tables 2 and 3 are identical. Similarly, the second and third rows concerning the frequency offsets and the off-resonance positions in Tables 2 and 4 are identical. On the other hand, Tables 3 and 4 differ only in the first three rows; the other rows of these two tables are identical.

Of all these conventions, only $C_3$ and $C_{k(1)}$ have the same carrier frequency $\omega_{\text{cf}}$ for the two dimensions of a 2D MQ-MAS spectrum. For these two conventions and $C_{k(2)}$, the apparent carrier frequency is always positive. This is not the case for the remaining conventions whose apparent carrier frequencies change sign depending on the spin $I$ and the coherence order $p$. Since most NMR processing programs do not accept negative carrier frequencies, if the apparent carrier frequency is indeed negative, we have to change its sign, reverse the F1 axis, and change the sign of $\Omega_{F1}$ as well. Otherwise, we have to use conventions whose apparent carrier frequencies
are always positive. This is the reason for which conventions $C_z$ [38, 59, 96, 98, 106–108] and $C_{k(1)}$ [17, 29, 68, 72, 77, 87, 91, 93, 97, 98, 109–111] are the most applied. However, convention $C_{3a}$ [42, 48, 49, 53, 55, 64, 65, 70] is also often applied, despite the fact that for a 3Q-MAS experiment, only spin $I = \frac{3}{2}$ nuclei have positive apparent carrier frequencies; spin $I = \frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \text{or} \frac{9}{2}$ nuclei have negative ones. This is due to the fact that the two physical parameters $\delta^\text{iso}_{CS}$ and $\omega^{(2)iso}_{1/2,1/2}/\omega_{cf}$ (see Eq. (28)) are independent of the spin $I$ and the coherence order $p$. This is not the case for conventions $C_z$ and $C_{k(1)}$. The apparent carrier frequencies $(k - p)\omega_{cf}$ of convention $C_{3a}$ and $(k + \lambda)\omega_{cf}$ of convention $C_{5a}$ have opposite signs. Convention $C_{3a}$ presents fewer negative carrier frequencies than convention $C_{3a}$, four instead of six. They correspond to coherence order $p = -1$. Furthermore, the two physical parameters $\delta^\text{iso}_{CS}$ and $\omega^{(2)iso}_{1/2,1/2}/\omega_{cf}$ (see Eq. (29)) are also independent of the spin $I$ and the coherence order $p$. In other words, conventions $C_{3a}$ and $C_{5a}$ are similar.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

The $^{27}$Al and $^{23}$Na 2D 3Q-MAS spectra were obtained on Bruker spectrometers ASX-500 operating at 130.31 MHz and ASX-300 operating at 79.39 MHz, respectively. Standard high-power MAS probeheads equipped with 4-mm diameter rotors were used. The rotor spinning $\nu_{rot}$ rate was 10 kHz. The acquisition of the two $t_2$-domain signals in quadrature was performed in the simultaneous mode. The two-pulse 3Q-MAS acquisition program followed the hypercomplex procedure [105, 112]. Therefore, the simultaneous acquisition mode was applied in both dimensions. The chemical shift was referenced to external aqueous solutions of 1-M Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ and 1-M NaCl. Other experimental conditions such as the number of scans $N_S$, the recycle delay $D_1$, the first- and second-pulse durations $P_1$ and $P_2$, the spectral width in the $F_2$ dimension $SW(F_2)$, the frequency offset $\Omega_{F_2}$ of the carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the $F_2$ dimension, and the spectral width in the $F_1$ dimension $SW(F_1)$ are given in the figure caption. Data processing, including the shearing transformation, was performed with the RMN(FAT) program written by Grandinetti [113].

**RESULTS**

Figure 2 shows an expansion of the sheared 2D 3Q-MAS spectrum of $^{27}$Al in aluminum acetylacetonate. The F1 axis is labeled with the several conventions discussed in this paper. Table 5 presents the numerical values of the three experimental parameters that have to be modified according to the convention used: the increment $\Delta t_1 = 50 \mu s$ of the experimental evolution period or the dwell time in the F1 dimension, the apparent carrier frequency, and the frequency offset $\Omega_{F_1}$ of the apparent carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the F1 dimension. The F1 axes for conventions $C_{3a}$, $C_{3b(1)}$, and $C_{3b(2)}$ used by Amoureux and Fernandez [23, 24] are oriented in the opposite direction to those of the other conventions. The observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak in the F1 axis depends of course on the conventions: $\delta^\text{obs}_{G1-3a} = -2.54$ ppm for $C_z$, $\delta^\text{obs}_{G1-3a} = -0.98$ ppm for $C_{k(1)}$ and $C_{k(2)}$, $\delta^\text{obs}_{G1-3a} = 1.79$ ppm for $C_{3a}$, $\delta^\text{obs}_{G1-3b} = 0.69$ ppm for $C_{3b(1)}$ and
FIG. 2. Zoomed contour-plot of the sheared 2D 3Q-MAS spectrum of the $^{27}$Al ($I = \frac{5}{2}$) nuclei in aluminum acetylacetonate, recorded at 130.3 MHz (ASX500). The axis of the F1 dimension is labeled with several conventions discussed in this paper. $NS = 24$, $D1 = 3$ s, $\nu_{rot} = 10$ kHz, $P1 = 3.5$ $\mu$s, $P2 = 0.75$ $\mu$s, $TD(F2) = 1024$ points, $SW(F2) = 20$ kHz, $\Omega_{2} = -445$ Hz, $TD(F1) = 256$ points, and $SW(F1) = 20$ kHz.

$C_{3b(2)}^{obs}$, $\delta_{G1-4a}^{obs} = -0.84$ ppm for $C_{4a}$, and $\delta_{G1-5a}^{obs} = -3.04$ ppm for $C_{5a}$. For the F2 dimension, the observed chemical shift of the center of gravity of the peak is $\delta_{G2}^{obs} = -3.16$ ppm. On the other hand, the quadrupole coupling constant, deduced from $\delta_{G1}^{obs}$ and $\delta_{G2}^{obs}$ using the various formulas in Tables 2–4, is independent of the convention: $C_Q = 2.97$ MHz, assuming $\eta = 0$. That found by Ding and McDowell [114] is $C_Q = 3.0$ MHz with $\eta = 0.0$. Those of Barrie [115] and of Ashbrook and co-workers [16] are $C_Q = 3.0$ MHz with $\eta = 0.15$.

Now we deal with the second topic of the paper, the aliasing of 2D peaks which are not on-resonance in the F2 dimension due to the effect of the chemical shift. This should occur when the sample presents several crystallographic sites for the nuclei with a large chemical-shift range. Therefore, some peaks in the F2 dimension should be off-resonance. We simulate the effect of the chemical shift on a single peak by changing its off-resonance position in the F2 dimension, that is, by changing the carrier frequency in the F2 dimension. If peak aliasing in the F2 dimension is easy to deal with experimentally by changing the value of $SW(F2)$ in the 1D experiment, it is not the case for the F1 dimension. It depends on the value of $SW(F1)$. There are two approaches to solve this problem: (1) We can keep fixed the off-resonance position of the peak in the F2 dimension and $SW(F2)$, but change $SW(F1)$ to see when the aliasing occurs in the F1 dimension. (2) We can keep fixed
SW(F1) and SW(F2), but change the off-resonance position of the peak in the F2 dimension. Since changing the SW(F1) value also changes the duration of the 2D experiment, we have chosen the second approach in order to keep most of the parameters unchanged.

Figure 3 shows the superposition of eight contour plots of sheared 2D 3Q-MAS spectra of $^{27}$Al in NH$_4$Y zeolite, each acquired with a different carrier frequency, which decreases from (1) to (8). Therefore, the frequency offset $\Omega_{F2}$ of the carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the F2 dimension also decreases from (1) to (8). Conversely, the off-resonance position $\Delta \omega_{F2}$ of the peak relative to the carrier frequency increases from (1) to (8). The F1 axis is labeled with convention $C_z$. Increasing the off-resonance position $\Delta \omega_{F2}$ towards the high-frequency side of SW(F2) moves the aluminum peak from position 1 to position 4, that is, towards the high-frequency side of SW(F2) and towards the low-frequency side of SW(F1); their observed chemical shifts of the center of gravity in the two dimensions are unchanged ($\delta_{G1}^{obs} = 184.612 \text{ ppm}$, $\delta_{G2}^{obs} = 390.945 \text{ ppm}$). A further increase in $\Delta \omega_{F2}$ moves the peak to position 5 with ($\delta_{G1}^{obs} = 509.280 \text{ ppm}$, $\delta_{G2}^{obs} = 280.539 \text{ ppm}$). The peak is aliased [116] or “wrapped-around” SW(F1) to appear at the opposite end of SW(F1), because its observed chemical shift $\delta_{G1}^{obs}$ differs from that of previous positions, an increase of 306 ppm corresponding to SW(F1) expressed in chemical shift units. A further increase in $\Delta \omega_{z}$ divides the peak into two parts, one half being at position 6 and the other half at position 6'. Peak 6 is aliased twice in the F1
FIG. 3. Effect of the frequency offset $\Omega_{F2}$ of the carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the F2 dimension on the position of a peak. Superposition of eight contour plots of sheared 2D 3Q-MAS spectra of the $^{27}$Al ($I = \frac{1}{2}$) nuclei in NH$_4$Y zeolite, recorded at 130.3 MHz (ASX500). The frequency offset $\Omega_{F2}$ decreases from (1) to (8). The axis of the F1 dimension is labeled with convention $C_z$. The values in parentheses give the position of the peak: the upper number is for the F1 axis and the lower one is for the F2 axis.

$NS = 120$, $D1 = 300$ ms, $\nu_{rot} = 10$ kHz, $P1 = 3$ $\mu$s, $P2 = 0.75$ $\mu$s, $TD(F2) = 512$ points, $SW(F2) = 40$ kHz, $TD(F1) = 256$ points, and $SW(F1) = 40$ kHz.

dimension and once in the F2 dimension. It is worth noticing that positions 1 to 4 and 8 are aligned. The slope of this line is $(k - p)$, that of $\Delta\omega_{F1} = (k - p)\Delta\omega_{F2}$ for convention $C_z$ (see Table 2). In this example the slope $k - p = -17/12$ is negative; increasing the off-resonance position of the peak towards the high-frequency side of $SW(F2)$ would cause aliasing of the peak around the high-frequency side of $SW(F1)$. In other words, as long as $SW(F1)$ is larger than $|k - p|$ times twice the off-resonance position $\Delta\omega_z$ of a peak in the F2 dimension, a value easily obtained with a 1D experiment, the peak is not aliased in the F1 dimension of a 2D spectrum.

Figure 4 shows three contour plots of sodium nuclei in Na$_4$P$_2$O$_7$. The F2 dimension has been reduced for clarity. Of the four sites for sodium nuclei, the peaks of sites 3 and 4 are resolved in the F1 dimension but not those of sites 1 and 2 at the carrier frequency $\omega_{cf}/(2\pi) = 79.4$ MHz. All four peaks are resolved at higher carrier frequency [65–67]. Since the slope $k - p = 34/9$ of the off-resonance position curve $\Delta\omega_{F1} = (k - p)\Delta\omega_{F2}$ is positive for $I = 3/2$, $k = 7/9$, and $p = -3$, and the ratio of spectral widths $SW(F1)/SW(F2) = 33.33/20$ is not larger than $34/9$, aliasing of peaks should occur here. Increasing the off-resonance position $\Delta\omega_{F2}$ towards the high-frequency side of $SW(F2)$ from Fig. 4a to Fig. 4b shifts the peaks towards the high-frequency side of $SW(F1)$. Further increase in $\Delta\omega_{F2}$ as in Fig. 4c aliases the
FIG. 4. Effect of the frequency offset $\Omega_{F2}$ of the carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the F2 dimension on the position of a peak. Contour plots of the sheared 2D $3Q$-MAS spectrum of the $^{23}$Na ($I = \frac{3}{2}$) nuclei in sodium pyrophosphate, recorded at 79.4 MHz (ASX300). The frequency offset $\Omega_{F2}$ of the carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution in the F2 dimension decreases from (a) to (c). The axis of the F1 dimension is labeled with convention $C_z$. $NS = 48$, $D1 = 4$ s, $\nu_{ext} = 10$ kHz, $P1 = 9$ $\mu$s, $P2 = 9$ $\mu$s, $TD(F2) = 1024$ points, $SW(F2) = 20$ kHz, $TD(F1) = 200$ points, and $SW(F1) = 33.33$ kHz. The F2 dimension has been shortened.

peaks of sites 3 and 4 from the low-frequency side of $SW(F1)$; fortunately, the peaks of sites 1 and 2 are not aliased. Thanks to the relation $\Delta \omega_{F1} = (k - p)\Delta \omega_{F2}$ in convention $C_z$, it is easy to recognize aliased peaks among nonaliased peaks in a 2D MQ-MAS spectrum. In order to avoid peak aliasing, before the MQ-MAS experiment starts, we should choose $SW(F1)$ so that the ratio $SW(F1)/SW(F2)$ is larger than $|k - p|$. From the observed chemical shifts of the center of gravity of the peaks of sites 3 and 4 in Fig. 4a, the quadrupole products are $C_{Q\eta} = 3.44$ MHz for site 3 and $C_{Q\eta} = 3.09$ MHz for site 4. Engelhardt and co-workers [65] found that $C_{Q\eta} = 3.4$ MHz with $\eta = 0.56$ for site 3 and $C_{Q\eta} = 3.0$ MHz with $\eta = 0.47$ for site 4.

We have presented peak aliasing when the simultaneous mode of signal acquisition is applied in both dimensions. We did not discuss the second way that is also worth presenting, the sequential mode of signal acquisition that the TPPI method implements. It is recommended to apply the same acquisition mode in both dimensions in order to control peak aliasing, if the condition $SW(F1)/SW(F2) > |k - p|$ cannot be satisfied.

**CONCLUSION**

The acquisition condition for avoiding the aliasing of peaks and the various conventions for labeling the F1 axis of a sheared 2D MQ-MAS spectrum have been presented and analyzed. The three parameters to be modified are the increment of the experimental evolution period, the apparent carrier frequency in the F1 dimension,
and the frequency offset of the apparent carrier frequency relative to the aqueous solution. The isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{\text{iso}}$, and the second-order quadrupole shift $\omega_{-1/2,1/2}$ of a peak can be deduced from the two observed chemical shifts of the center of gravity of the peak in the two dimensions F1 and F2, using appropriate formulas (see Tables 2–4). In fact three conventions dominate the literature: convention $C_z$ introduced by Medek and co-workers [96], convention $C_{k(1)}$ introduced by Massiot and co-workers [97], and convention $C_{3a}$ introduced by Amoureux and Fernandez [23, 24]. The apparent carrier frequencies in the first two conventions are always positive. The price to pay is that there are many numerical factors (see Table 1) involved in the expressions of $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ and $\omega_{-1/2,1/2}$, depending on the spin $I$ and the coherence order $p$. On the other hand, convention $C_{3a}$ presents one expression for $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ and another one for $\omega_{-1/2,1/2}$, independent of $I$ and $p$. The price to pay is that the apparent carrier frequency changes sign depending on $I$ and $p$, which requires additional data processing if the apparent carrier frequency is negative. We have proposed another convention called $C_{5a}$, having the same properties as $C_{3a}$.

For a given $I$ and $p$, the signs of their carrier frequencies are different; otherwise, these two conventions are similar.

REFERENCES


